

# Application of complementary methods in chemical and ecotoxicological monitoring of sediments under WFD in Wallonia (Belgium).

Marneffe Yves, Matthieu Hémart, Jean-luc Berger and Mathieu Veschkens.

ISSeP (Institut Scientifique de Service Public) - Cellule Ecotoxicologie, rue du Chéra, 200, 4000 Liège, Belgium (y.marneffe@issep.be)



## Introduction

Sediment remains an important matrix for the monitoring of certain substances with significant potential for accumulation to assess long-term impacts of anthropogenic activity and trends. The EQS Directive (2008/105 EC amended by 2013/39/EU) provides that Member States should take measures with the aim of ensuring that existing levels of contamination in sediment will not significantly increase. Chemical analysis of priority pollutants is often not sufficient to explain ecotoxicological effects of these complex environmental samples. Risk assessment based on concentrations, e.g. of priority pollutants in sediments or water, obviously does not reflect the risk of the actual mixture of contaminants, but only the risk of those pre-selected toxicants. Bioassays are therefore useful tools for the evaluation of sediment in which both known and unknown contaminants are present.

## Materials and methods

From 2010 to 2012, 31 monitoring stations included in the surveillance monitoring programme of the WFD in Wallonia (Belgium) were sampled for sediment. Bioassays were carried out to determine the potential impact of contaminated sediment. According to their level of toxicity for the different tests, the sediments of the surveillance monitoring stations were classified from non-toxic to extremely toxic. Priority substances selected as pertinent for a monitoric in sediments and other pertinent chemicals were analyzed in each sample (63 µm fraction). The link between physico-chemical and ecotoxicological parameters was assessed by multivariate statistical analysis

## Results and discussions

### Sediment ecotoxicity distribution



Based on pore water toxicity, 10/31 sites were not toxic, 19/31 sites showed moderate toxicity and 2/31 displayed high toxicity.

Based on whole sediment toxicity, 8/31 sites were not toxic, 2/31 sites showed low toxicity, 18/31 sites displayed moderate toxicity and 3/31 sites high toxicity. Considering both compartments, 3/31 sites were not toxic 12/31 displayed moderate toxicity and 1/31 was highly toxic.

#### ediment ecotoxicity classification



The ecotoxicological classification based on Heise and Ahlf (2007) shows that 3/31 sites were "not toxic", 4/31 sites showed "low toxicity", 17/31 sites displayed "moderate toxicity", 6/31 sites were "highly toxic" and only one site belonged to class 5 ("extremely toxic").

## Conclusion

The results of this monitoring show that sediment collected in inland waterways display the toxicity. The sediments originating from the Scheldt hydrographic district are also more toxic results underline the interest of using a bioassay battery to characterize sediments, each having a different sensitivity to pollutants in the sediment. They give additional informa instance for bioavailability) and are a useful tool for assessing risk posed by contaminated sediments.

## **Bioassays and chemical analysis**





Vibrio fischeri, Pseudokirchneriella

bioassays for pore waters.



Priority pollutants according to EQS Directive (2008/105/EC

subcapitata and Brachionus calyciflorus

amended by 2013/39/EU) trend analysis on 63µm fraction.

Chironomus riparius, Heterocypris incongruens (Ostracodtoxkit®) for whole samples.

# Pore water ecotoxicity V. fischeri TU20 14 12 10



As far as pore water is concerned, the most sensitive bioassays are algae (Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata) and bacteria (Vibrio fischeri). For whole sediment Ostracodtoxkit® test is more sensitive than Chironomus riparius bioassay. It is worth noticing that Ostracod mortality is only significant above 30% (and when it is the case the growth inhibition is not assessed). Inland waterways (SVN) seem to be more contaminated

### Relationship between priority pollutants and ecotoxicity

		Vibrio fischeri	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	Brachionus calyciflorus
	Significant positive relation between chemical parameters and ecotoxicity (p<0,05)	Benzene, Aliphatic Hydrocarbons C10-C40	Mercury, arsenic, lead, Aliphatic Hydrocarbons C10-C40, PAHs (Acenaphtylene, Acenaphtene, Fluorene)	
		Chironomus riparius	Heterocypris incongruens	Statistical analysis shower significant (p<0.05) positive
e higher c. These	Significant positive relation between chemical parameters and	Mercury, Aliphatic Hydrocarbons C10-C40, PAHs (16 compounds)	organic carbon, Mercury, PAHs (Acenaphtylene, Acenaphtene, Fluorene)	relations between som chemical parameters (hydro
species ition (for	ecotoxicity (p<0,05)	Nonylphenols, HCB	Nonylphenols, HCB, PBDE.	carbons, metals), and the different bioassays (except for
the state				Brachionus calveiflorus)